# ADVANCED MENISCAL REPAIR CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINE 

CONSIDERATIONS FOR RADIAL, ROOT AND HORIZONTAL CLEAVAGE REPAIRS

## Background

Meniscal root/radial tears present in a variety of forms, ranging from partial to complete avulsion. Root and radial tears can have a profound effect on the health of the articular cartilage of the knee with the potential for meniscal extrusion and accelerated arthritic degeneration if left untreated. Horizontal cleavage tears can result in advanced degeneration of the meniscus tissue and underlying cartilage, especially during high-impact activity. The listed clinical practice recommendations are more conservative than traditional meniscus repair protocols due to the complexity of the surgical technique. The direct attachment of the hamstring and popliteus tendons to the menisci requires limited knee flexor active contraction during the protection phase of recovery for the meniscus root/radial repair. Additional protection of these repairs requires extended non-weight bearing precautions and special consideration of CKC knee flexion due to biomechanical stresses of healing tissues.

As surgical techniques continue to be refined, the literature regarding protocols throughout the rehabilitation continuum of care are lacking. The listed clinical practice guideline is based on anatomical, biomechanical and surgical principles with criterion-based progressions.

## Disclaimer

Progression is time and criterion-based, dependent on soft tissue healing, patient demographics and clinician evaluation. Contact Ohio State Sports Medicine at 614-293-2385 if questions arise.

## Summary of Recommendations

| Meniscal Root |
| :--- | :--- |
| Classification |
| System |$\quad$| Illustrations of the meniscal root tear |
| :--- |
| classification system in 5 different |
| groups based on tear morphology. All |
| meniscal tears are shown as medial |
| meniscal posterior root tears for |
| consistency in this illustration. The 5 |
| tear patterns were classified based on |
| morphology; partial stable root tear |
| (type 1), complete radial tear within |
| $9 m m$ from the bony root attachment |
| (type 2), bucket-handle tear with |
| complete root detachment (type 3), |
| complex oblique or longitudinal tear with |
| complete root detachment (type 4), and |
| bony avulsion of the root attachment |
| (type 5). LaPrade et al 2015 |


|  | *Isokinetic testing only warranted if patient's goal is to return to sport* <br> - Many of these patients will be older with a goal of return to low-impact activity (ie- IADLS, recreational walking, biking) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Criteria to Discharge Assistive Device | *NWBi x 6 WEEKS, Full Wg with no assisted device (AD) by week 8-9 <br> 1. ROM: Full active knee extension; no pain on passive overpressure <br> 2. Strength: Able to perform strong quad isometric with full tetany and superior patellar glide and able to perform $2 \times 10$ SLR without quad lag <br> 3. Effusion: 1+ or less is preferred <br> 4. Weight Bearing: Demonstrates pain-free ambulation without visible gait deviation for 3 minutes at self-selected speed |
| Criteria to Initiate Running and Jumping | 1. ROM: full, pain-free knee ROM, symmetrical with the uninvolved limb <br> 2. Strength: Isokinetic testing $80 \%$ or greater for hamstring and quad at $60 \% \mathrm{sec}$ and $300 \%$ sec <br> 3. Effusion: $1+$ or less <br> 4. Weight Bearing: normalized gait and jogging mechanics <br> 5. Neuromuscular Control: Pain-free hopping in place |
| Criteria for Return to Sport | 1. ROM: full, painfree knee ROM, symmetrical with the uninvolved limb <br> 2. Strength: Isokinetic testing $90 \%$ or greater for hamstring and quad at $60 \% \mathrm{sec}$ and $300 \%$ sec <br> 3. Effusion: No reactive effusion $\geq 1+$ with sport-specific activity <br> 4. Weight Bearing: normalized gait and jogging mechanics <br> 5. Neuromuscular control: appropriate mechanics and force attenuation strategies with high level agility, plyometrics, and high impact movements <br> 6. Functional Testing: <br> - Vail Sports Test, $>46 / 54$ <br> - Anterior reach on Y-Balance test, $<5 \mathrm{~cm}$ difference <br> - Y Balance composite score, $>94 \%$ <br> - Modified Agility T Test, $>90 \%$ of uninvolved <br> - Single-leg hop series, $>90 \%$ of uninvolved <br> 7. Physician Clearance |
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## Phase 1 (Weeks 0-6): Protection, ROM, Muscle Activation

$\left.\begin{array}{l|ll}\hline \text { Goals } & \begin{array}{l}\text { 1. } \\ \text { 2. } \\ \text { 2. }\end{array} & \text { Resotect surgical repair joint effusion to 1+ or less } \\ \text { 3. } & \text { Restore full ROM }\end{array}\right]$
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| Criteria to <br> Discharge <br> Assistive | 1. | 2. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ROM: Full active knee extension; no pain on passive overpressure <br> Device | Strength: Able to perform strong quad isometric with full tetany and superior patellar <br> glide and able to perform $2 \times 10$ SLR without quad lag |  |
|  | 4. | Effusion: $1+$ or less is preferred (2+ acceptable if all other criteria are met) |

## Phase 2 (7-9 weeks): Weight Bearing Tolerance

| Precautions | 1. Gradual progression of WB to full WB with no $A D$ by weeks $8-9$ <br> 2. Knee flexion $<40$ degrees with CKC activity <br> 3. CKC activity limited to WBstatus |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pain and Effusion | Cryotherapy/compression as needed for reactive effusion. |
| ROM | - Monitor and progress knee ROM, patellar mobility, and LE flexibility <br> - Begin more aggressive techniques to achieve/maintain full knee extension (i.e. weighted bag hang) as needed <br> - Continue bike for ROM and warmup <br> - If full AROM knee extension is not achieved by 4 weeks, contact surgeon regarding ROM concerns. |
| Suggested Interventions and timelines | - Multi-angle knee isometrics from 60-90 for patients unable to tolerate highintensity NMES <br> - Progress WB quadriceps and hamstring exercises with emphasis on proper LE mechanics <br> - Root/Radial Repairs: no isometric HS activity until 8 weeks, no isolated hamstring isotonics until 12 weeks <br> - Progress gluteal and lumbopelvic strength and stability <br> - Progress single leg balance <br> - NMES (see parameters in week 0-6) |
| Criteria to Progress to Phase 3 | 1. Achieve full WBing <br> 2. Normalize gait pattern on flat ground <br> 3. Maintain trace to zero joint effusion <br> 4. Tolerate 25 minutes or standing and walking activity |
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## Phase 3 (weeks 10-15): Endurance

| Precautions | Knee flexion <70 degrees with CKC activity |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pain and Effusion | Effusion may increase with increased activity, $\leq 1+$ and/or non-reactive effusion for progression of endurance activities |
| ROM | Full, symmetrical to contralateral limb, and pain free with overpressure |
| Therapeutic Exercise | Performance of the quadriceps, hamstrings and trunk dynamic stability with low load, high repetitions |
| Suggested Interventions | Therapeutic Exercise/Neuromuscular Re-education <br> - Double-leg squats (<70 degrees) <br> - Stationary lunges progressing to walking lunges <br> - Step down- starting at 2" step and progressing to 6 " Cardiovascular Conditioning: permitted week 12 <br> - Stationary bike with resistance <br> - Treadmill walking <br> - Freestyle swimming (no fins until week 16 ) |
| Criteria to d/c NMES | <20\% quadriceps deficit on isometric testing OR- If a Biodex machine in not available: <br> 1. 10 SLR without quad lag <br> 2. Normal gait |
| Criteria to Progress to Phase 3 | 90 second hold in single leg squat position at 45 degrees of knee flexion |

Phase 4 (weeks 16-21): Strength

| Precautions | Until week 20, maximum of 90 degrees of knee flexion with CKC activity |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pain and Effusion | Effusion may increase with increased activity, $\leq 1+$ and/or non-reactive effusion |
| ROM | Full, symmetrical to contralateral limb, and pain-free with overpressure |
| Therapeutic Exercise | Performance of the quadriceps, hamstrings and trunk dynamic stability with high resistance, low repetitions |
| Suggested Interventions | Therapeutic Exercise/Neuromuscular Re-education <br> - Single-leg squats <br> - Single-leg deadlifts <br> - Single-leg sit to stand <br> - Multi-directional lunges |
| Criteria to Progress to Phase 5 | - Quadriceps index $>80 \%$ (isokinetic testing) <br> - Anterior reach on $Y$ balance test, $<8-\mathrm{cm}$ difference compared to uninvolved side |
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## Phase 5 (weeks 22-Return to Sport (RTS)): Power, Running and Return to Sport

| Precautions | - No deep squatting for 6 months <br> - Expected RTS by 9 months |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pain and Effusion | Effusion may increase with increased activity, $\leq 1+$ and/or non-reactive effusion for progression of plyometrics |
| ROM | Full, symmetrical to contralateral limb, and pain-free with overpressure |
| Therapeutic Exercise | Performance of the quadriceps, hamstrings and trunk dynamic stability with sports-specific activity |
| Suggested Interventions | Therapeutic Exercise/Neuromuscular Re-education <br> - Double and single leg jump training <br> - Ladder drill agility <br> - Lateral hops with and without resistance <br> - Progressive cutting activities |
| Criteria to Progress to RTS | 1. Pass Vail Sport Test, $>46 / 54$ <br> 2. Anterior reach on $Y$ balance test, $<5 \mathrm{~cm}$ difference <br> 3. Y balance test composite scores, $>94$ <br> 4. Quadriceps index $>90 \%$ (isokinetic) <br> 5. Modified Agility T Test $>90 \%$ of contralateral limb <br> 6. Single-leg hop series $>90 \%$ LSI <br> - SL hop for distance <br> - Triple hop <br> - Cross over hop <br> - Timed 6 m hop |

Authors: Caroline Lewis, PT, DPT, SCS and Josh Pintar, PT, DPT, SCS
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## Appendix A: Vail Sports Test

Total Points: $\qquad$ /54 (Patient must score 46/54 on the test in order to pass)

Single Leg Squat (Goal: 3 minutes): subject must perform each repetition at a cadence of 1 second up and 1 second down against resistance of a sportcord (placed under the foot of the leg that the test is being performed on).

Yes (1) No (0) Minute 1 Minute 2 Minute 3

1. Knee flexion angle between $30^{\circ}$ and $60^{\circ}$
2. Patient performs repetitions without dynamic knee valgus
3. Patient avoids locking knee during extension
4. Patient avoids patella extending past the toe during knee flexion
5. Patient maintains upright trunk during knee flexion

## Single Leg Squat Total Points


$\qquad$
$/ 15$
If patient repeats error on 3 consecutive repetitions after correction, they are not eligible to receive a point for that particular standard (within each 1-minute timeframe).

Lateral Bounding (Goal: 90 seconds): subject performs a lateral hopping motion against resistance of a sportcord attached to the subject's waist via a belt and on the other end to an immoveable object that is level with the waist. The injured leg is positioned as the inside leg or the leg closest to the wall. The patient is instructed to hop from one leg to the other (leg length distance), absorbing energy while they land by bending at the knee and hip. Landing boundaries (distance of the hop) are demarcated on the floor with two pieces of tape, one of which begins at the point of resistance of the sportcord as it is stretched away from the wall and the other is the measured distance of the subject's leg length from the first piece of tape.

| Yes (1) No (0) | $1^{\text {st }} 30$ | $2^{\text {nd }} 30$ | $3^{\text {rd }} 30$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| seconds | seconds | seconds |  |

1. Knee flexion angle is $30^{\circ}$ or greater during landing
2. Patient performs repetitions without dynamic knee valgus
3. Patient performs repetitions within landing boundaries
4. Landing phase does not exceed 1 second in duration
5. Patient maintains upright trunk during knee flexion

Lateral Bounding Total Points

/15

If patient repeats error on 3 consecutive repetitions after correction, they are not eligible to receive a point for that particular standard (within each 30 second timeframe).
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Forward Jogging (Goal: 2 minutes): subject performs forward jogging against resistance of the sportcord with the belt around waist. The patient is instructed to hop from one leg to the other in an up and down manner (similar to jogging in place) while using proper form and absorbing energy with each landing by bending at the knee and hip.

## Yes (1) No (0) Minute 1 Minute 2

6. Knee flexion between $30^{\circ}$ and $60^{\circ}$
7. Patient performs repetitions without dynamic knee valgus
8. Patient performs repetitions within landing boundaries
9. Patient avoids locked knee during extension
10. Landing phase does not exceed 1 second in duration
11. Patient maintains upright trunk during knee flexion

## Forward Jogging Total Points


/12

If patient repeats error on 3 consecutive repetitions after correction, they are not eligible to receive a point for that particular standard (within each 1-minute timeframe).

Backward Jogging (goal: 2 minutes): subject performs backward jogging against resistance of the sportcord with the belt around waist. The patient is instructed to hop from one leg to the other in an up and down manner (similar to jogging in place) while using proper form and absorbing energy with each landing by bending at the knee and hip.

Yes (1) No (0) Minute 1 Minute 2
12. Knee flexion between $30^{\circ}$ and $60^{\circ}$
13. Patient performs repetitions without dynamic knee valgus
14. Patient performs repetitions within landing boundaries
15. Patient avoids locked knee during extension
16. Landing phase does not exceed 1 second in duration
17. Patient maintains upright trunk during knee flexion

Backward Jogging Total Points

/12

If patient repeats error on 3 consecutive repetitions after correction, they are not eligible to receive a point for that particular standard (within each 1-minute timeframe).

## Appendix B: Y Balance Test



Posterolateral


Posterolateral Posteromedial

|  | Left | Right | Difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Anterior |  |  |  |
| Posteromedial |  |  |  |
| Posterolateral |  |  |  |

Difference should be less than 4 cm for return to sport and preparticipation screening.

$$
\text { Composite Score }=\frac{(\text { Anterior }+ \text { Posteromedial }+ \text { Posterolateral })}{(3 \times \text { Limb Length })} \times 100
$$

| Right |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| Left |  |

## Appendix C: Modified Agility T-Test



The modified agility T-test was developed from the standard T-test to evaluate lower extremity side-toside differences in cutting and running maneuvers. The participant is initially guided through the course by the test administrator, who emphasizes the importance of performing a shuffling movement and not running or using crossover steps during the lateral movement portions of the test. Participant will performs test the test to each direction after adequate rest ( 2 min ). The total time is compared between each limb, the shuffle push-off limb determines involved or uninvolved limb.

Involved Time:
Uninvolved Time: $\qquad$

## Appendix D: Single Leg Hop Series

1) Single hop for distance: Have the subject line their heel up with the zero mark of the tape measure, wearing athletic shoes. The subject then hops as far as he/she can, landing on the same push off leg, for at least 3 seconds. The arms are allowed to move freely during the testing. Allow him/her to perform 2 practice hops on each leg. Then, have the subject perform 2 testing trial, recording each distance from the starting point to the back of the heel. Average the distanced hopped for each limb. The Limb Symmetry Index: Involved limb distance/Uninvolved limb distance X 100\%.
2) Cross-over hop for distance: The subject lines their heel up with the zero mark of the tape measure and hops 3 times on one foot, crossing fully over the center line each time. Each subject should hop as far forward as he/she can on each hop, but only the total distance hopped is recorded. The arms are allowed to move freely during the testing. Allow him/her to perform 2 practice hops on each leg. Then, have the subject perform 2 testing trial, recording each distance from the starting point to the back of the heel. Average the distanced hopped for each limb. The Limb Symmetry Index: Involved limb distance/Uninvolved limb distance X 100\%.
3) Triple hop for distance: The subject lines their heel up with the zero mark of the tape measure and hops 3 times on one foot. Each subject should hop as far forward as he/she can on each hop, but only the total distance hopped is recorded. The arms are allowed to move freely during the testing. Allow him/her to perform 2 practice hops on each leg. Then, have the subject perform 2 testing trial, recording each distance from the starting point to the back of the heel. Average the distanced hopped for each limb. The Limb Symmetry Index: Involved limb distance/Uninvolved limb distance X 100\%.
4) Timed 6-meter hop: The subject lines their heel up at the zero mark of the tape measure and hops, on cue with the tester, as fast as they can the length of the 6 -meter tape. The arms are allowed to move freely during the testing. Allow him/her to perform 2 practice hops on each leg. Then, have the subject perform 2 testing trial, recording each distance from the starting point to the back of the heel. Average the distanced hopped for each limb. The Limb Symmetry Index: Involved limb time/Uninvolved limb time X $100 \%$.
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